EXHIBIT A

In the Matter Of:

Bayne, Debora vs NAPW, Inc.

MELANIE FARRIS

November 06, 2019

Job Number: 585624

```
1
    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
    EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
    DEBORA BAYNE, and all other
 4
    persons similarly situated,
 5
                        Plaintiff(s),
 6
             - against -
                             Index No.18-CV-3591
    NAPW, INC. d/b/a National
    Association of Professional
    Women and d/b/a International
 9
    Association of Women, and
    PROFESSIONAL DIVERSITY
10
    NETWORK, INC. d/b/a National
11
    Association of Professional
    Women and d/b/a International
12
    Association of Women,
13
                        Defendant(s).
14
     ----X
15
                        40 Broad Street
                        New York, New York
16
                        November 6, 2019
17
                        10:06 a.m.
18
19
20
                        DEPOSITION of MELANIE FARRIS, a
21
       Plaintiff in the above-entitled action, was taken
22
       pursuant to Notice, at the above time and place,
23
       held before a Notary Public within and for the
24
       State of New York.
25
    Job No. 585624
```

```
Page 2
 1
    APPEARANCES:
 2
     VIRGINIA & AMBINDER
 3
     Attorneys for the Plaintiffs
 4
          40 Broad, 7th Floor
          New York, New York 10004
 5
     BY: JACK NEWHOUSE, ESQ.
 6
 7
 8
     DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP
     Attorneys for the Defendants
 9
          222 West Adams Street, Suite 3400
10
          Chicago, Illinois 60606
     BY: JOHNER T. WILSON III, ESQ.
11
12
13
    Also Present:
14
     Michele Moreno
     Virginia & Ambinder
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	would be the lead plaintiff in this lawsuit?
2	MR. NEWHOUSE: Objection.
3	MR. WILSON: Basis?
4	MR. NEWHOUSE: Attorney/client
5	privilege.
6	Q Did you and Deb have conversations about
7	who should be the lead plaintiff?
8	A Absolutely not.
9	Q Do you know what was considered
10	A No, I don't.
11	Q as to why you wouldn't be the lead
12	plaintiff?
13	A No, I don't.
14	MR. NEWHOUSE: Objection, calls
15	for speculation.
16	MR. WILSON: If she knows?
17	MR. NEWHOUSE: About other
18	people, sure. The question calls for
19	speculation.
20	Q You were the first person to raise the
21	issue that something should be done?
22	MR. NEWHOUSE: Objection, calls
23	for speculation.
24	Q You approached Deb with the issue that you
25	had about your paychecks; correct?
	na about jour pajoneous, correct.

		Page 105
1	А	Absolutely, yes, I did.
2	Q	You and Debora together decided that
3	something	should be done?
4	А	Correct.
5	Q	And you decided that that something would
6	be a lawsu	uit?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	Who made first contact with the law firm
9	you are re	epresented by?
10		MR. NEWHOUSE: Between her and
11		Deb?
12	Q	Between you and Deb.
13	А	I honestly I was recommended to this law
14	firm by ar	nother lawyer from Levittown.
15	Q	Who?
16	А	Joseph. I don't know Joseph's last name.
17	Q	Joseph is a lawyer with this firm?
18	А	I don't think so.
19	Q	Where is Joseph you said he's a lawyer
20	who	
21	A	In Levittown.
22	Q	Did you speak with Joseph about your
23	issues?	
24	A	Over Passover, yeah. I was doing Passover
25	dinner; I	was on the phone. I started talking; he
1		

1	Page 106 started listening.
2	Q I am asking because when I asked you who
3	you talked to, you didn't mention Joseph.
4	MR. NEWHOUSE: He's an
5	attorney.
6	MR. WILSON: She didn't mention
7	Joseph. Are you claiming privilege
8	over her conversation with Joseph?
9	MR. NEWHOUSE: He certainly is
10	privileged.
11	MR. WILSON: Is there agreement
12	between your firm and Joseph on
13	representation?
14	MR. NEWHOUSE: Absolutely not,
15	no.
16	A I did nothing with Joseph. Just talked.
17	MR. WILSON: I'm wondering how
18	that conversation is privileged.
19	MR. NEWHOUSE: If he's an
20	attorney and she went to him about a
21	legal issue, that would be a
22	communication that's privileged.
23	MR. WILSON: Are you saying he
24	represents her?
25	MR. NEWHOUSE: You don't have

		- 405
1		Page 107 to be represented by the attorney in
2		order for it to be privileged.
3	Q	I need Joseph's last name. I think we've
4	got to revi	isit this. Give me a phone number you have
5	for Joseph.	•
6	А	We're talking almost two years ago.
7	Q	That's fine. You don't have any phone
8	number for	Joseph?
9	А	No.
10	Q	Where does Joseph work?
11	А	At a law firm.
12	Q	What is the name of that law firm?
13	А	I don't know.
14	Q	You said Levington?
15	A	Levittown.
16	Q	Can you spell that for me.
17	А	L-E-V-I-T-O-W-N. [sic]
18	Q	That's here in New York; correct?
19	А	Correct, Long Island.
20	Q	Tell me. You said this conversation
21	occurred du	ring Passover?
22	А	Yep.
23	Q	Passover dinner?
24	A	Yes. I have two Jewish children.
25	Q	This was in 2018?

1	А	Page 108 Yes.
2	Q	How long did this conversation last with
3	Joseph?	
4	A	About thirty minutes.
5	* Q	Did Joseph give you legal advise?
6		MR. NEWHOUSE: Objection,
7		attorney/client privilege. You're
8		asking about whether
9		MR. WILSON: I'm asking her if
10		she received legal advise. That's
11		not privileged. She can say yes; she
12		can say no.
13		MR. NEWHOUSE: You're asking
14		about the substance of his
15		MR. WILSON: I'm asking if he
16		provided legal advice. That's a very
17		specific question. If he provided
18		legal advice, it's privileged. If he
19		didn't, it's not privileged. I am
20		really getting perturbed by your
21		obstructionary practices on this
22		topic.
23		MR. NEWHOUSE: You can raise
24		your voice all you want.
25		MR. WILSON: I apologize if I

Page 109

- 1 raised my voice. That wasn't
- 2 necessary.
- 3 MR. NEWHOUSE: That doesn't
- 4 change the subject that she testified
- 5 she went to him. He's an attorney.
- 6 She was communicating with him about
- 7 a potential lawsuit. Any further
- 8 substantive portion of her
- 9 conversation including whether or not
- 10 he provided her with legal advise is
- 11 privileged.
- MR. WILSON: I would challenge
- 13 that statement. I would object to
- 14 the leading aspect and the coaching
- 15 aspect of your explanation. If he
- 16 had a conversation with her and did
- 17 not provide legal advice, there's
- 18 zero basis to claim privilege over
- 19 that conversation. That's what makes
- 20 a communication privileged. So I
- 21 will ask my question. You can
- 22 instructed your witness not to
- 23 answer, and I will certify the
- 24 question and we can have the judge
- 25 look it. Are you instructing the

		Page 110
1		witness not to answer?
2		MR. NEWHOUSE: One moment.
3		MR. WILSON: I apologize for
4		elevating my voice slightly.
5		MR. NEWHOUSE: Yes. I'm
6		directing my client not to answer.
7		MR. WILSON: Certify the
8		question.
9	Q	Other than the conversation during Passover
10	dinner, did	you have any subsequent conversation with
11	Joseph, the	attorney?
12		MR. NEWHOUSE: You can answer.
13	А	Yes, I did.
14	Q	How many times have you spoken with Joseph?
15	А	Twice.
16	Q	When did the second conversation occur?
17		MR. NEWHOUSE: You could
18		answer.
19	А	April. I don't have exact date.
20	Q	After the Passover dinner?
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	Are there any other conversations you are
23	not talking	about?
24	А	No.
25	Q	What other family members did you discuss
1		

1	the hagig	Page 111 For your lawsuit with?
2	A	None.
3	Q	The conversation you had with Joseph during
4	the Passove	er dinner, who else was present?
5		MR. NEWHOUSE: Present on the
6		phone?
7	A	In the room?
8	Q	Yes.
9	А	My children.
10	Q	Which children?
11	А	Sean Paul.
12	Q	How old is Sean?
13	А	Thirteen.
14	Q	Who else?
15	А	Mckenzie, M-C-K-E-N-Z-I-E, twelve.
16	Q	Who else?
17	А	Daniel, twenty-two with autism.
18	Q	They were in the room when you had the
19	conversation	on?
20	A	I was in the kitchen; they were in the
21	living room	\mathfrak{m} .
22	Q	This is Passover dinner; you were having
23	Passover d	inner at your home?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Did you have guests?
1	-	

1	Page 113 MR. NEWHOUSE: In other words?
2	MR. WILSON: No wall separating
3	them; they were there. Children
4	present.
5	MR. NEWHOUSE: I am simply
6	stating my objection to the
7	statement.
8	Q No other conversation with anyone else
9	about this, the factual basis for the case?
10	A No, no other people.
11	Q Was Debora Bayne the first person you spoke
12	with about the issue that you believe existed with your
13	paychecks?
14	A Yes.
15	MR. WILSON: Give me one moment
16	to review my notes.
17	Q During your time at NAPW, were you coached
18	on the importance of time management skills?
19	A I didn't need to be coached. I do good
20	time management. I was never coached.
21	Q Were you instructed or informed that time
22	management was one of the core values at NAPW?
23	A I don't recall.
24	Q Were there opportunities for advancement at
25	NAPW?